Appendix H – Prime Farmland Conversion Rating Form

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)				3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 6/4/18 Sheet 1 of 1							
1. Name of Project SW trail between Hot Springs and Little Rock				1 01-1110							
2. Type of Project Multi-Use Recreational Path			6. Cour	6. County and State Garland County, Arkansas							
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)			1. Date 6/4/	Request Received b	y NRCS	Mari	on Completing Form				
Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this forn				YES 🗸 NO]	4. Acres 89	Irrigated Average	Farm Size			
5. Major Crop(s)				nment Jurisdiction		3100 0000000000000000000000000000000000	nt of Farmland As D				
soybeans		Acres: 31		% 6.	7	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	_{s:} 31,351	% 6.7			
Name Of Land Evaluation System U SCS/LESA	Ised	al Site Asse	essment System		10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 6/13/18						
PART III (To be completed by Fe	deral Agency)					dor For Segment					
Jan , consider transference in deptiles southfrom Exemple discovering Ex. 1527 (977)				Corridor A	Corr	idor B	Corridor C	Corridor D			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Dire	ONE TO THE TAXABLE OF THE TAXABLE OF THE TAXABLE OF TAX	20 15		6.1	-						
B. Total Acres To Be Converted India	rectly, Or To Receive S	Services		0	-						
C. Total Acres In Corridor				7630.82	-						
PART IV (To be completed by N		on Information	7								
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Fa	armland			263							
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local				0							
C. Percentage Of Farmland in Coun	No.			0.83	-						
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt.			Section and Section 1977	100	—						
PART V (To be completed by NRCS value of Farmland to Be Serviced of				3.3							
PART VI (To be completed by Fed			Maximum								
Assessment Criteria (These criteri	ia are explained in 7	CFR 658.5(c))	Points								
1. Area in Nonurban Use			15	15							
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10	10							
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed			20	20							
4. Protection Provided By State	And Local Government		20	0							
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Cor			10	0	\vdash						
Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			25	0							
7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services			5	0	├─			 			
8. On-Farm Investments			20	0	₩						
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			25	0	-						
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			10	5	-		407	10,220			
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	50	0		0	0			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)					180						
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	3.3	0		0	0			
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)			160	50	0		0	0			
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	53.3	0		О	0			
Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farm	1411	3. Date Of	Selection:	4. Was	A Local Si	te Assessment Use	ed?			
A-Preferred	Converted by Proje	ect:									
Alignment	6.1 acre	es	3/30/2020			YES NO					
5. Reason For Selection:	27	*									
At this time the Prim	nary Alignment	(Corridor	A) is th	ne preferred	route	throug	h the study	area.			
Signature of Person Completing this	Part: ASSIE S	dimidt				DAT	3/30/202	0			
NOTE: Complete a form for ea	ach segment with r	more than one	e Alternat	te Corridor							

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)				3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 6/4/18 Sheet 1 of 1							
1. Name of Project SW trail between Hot Springs and Little Rock				5. Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)							
2. Type of Project Multi-Use Recreational Path			6. Cour	6. County and State Saline County, Arkansas							
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)			1. Date 6/4	Request Received b	y NRCS		on Completing Form ie Ross	1			
Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland' (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form				YES 🗸 NO 🗆]	4. Acres	Average 130	Farm Size			
5. Major Crop(s)	•			nment Jurisdiction			nt of Farmland As D				
Improved Pasture		Acres: 11		% 25	5.0	500-000-00	_{s:} 118,303	_% 25.3			
Name Of Land Evaluation System U SCS/LESA	sed	9. Name of Loc Arkansas	essment System		10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 6/13/18						
PART III (To be completed by Fe	deral Agency)			Alternati	ve Corri	dor For	Segment				
See Consider District Concerns September Considerate Concerns Conc				Corridor A	Corr	idor B Corridor C		Corridor D			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Dire				52.1	↓						
B. Total Acres To Be Converted India	rectly, Or To Receive S	Services		0							
C. Total Acres In Corridor				4924.21	-						
PART IV (To be completed by N.	RCS) Land Evaluati	on Information	7								
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Fa	ırmland			897							
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local	Important Farmland			1487							
C. Percentage Of Farmland in Coun	-M			2.04							
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt.			0.500.000.000.000.000.000.000	100							
PART V (To be completed by NRCS value of Farmland to Be Serviced of				36.3							
PART VI (To be completed by Fed	eral Agency) Corrido	r	Maximum					_			
Assessment Criteria (These criteri	ia are explained in 7	CFR 658.5(c))	Points								
1. Area in Nonurban Use			15	15	1						
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10	10							
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Far	med		20	20							
4. Protection Provided By State	And Local Government		20	0							
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Cor	npared To Average		10	0							
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			25	0							
7. Availablility Of Farm Support S	Services		5	0							
8. On-Farm Investments			20	0							
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			25	0							
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			10	5							
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	50	0		0	0			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)					100		ļ	 			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	36.3	0		0	0			
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)			160	50	0		0	0			
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	86.3	0		0	0			
1. Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farm	1411	3. Date Of	Selection:	4. Was	A Local S	ite Assessment Use	ed?			
A-Preferred	Converted by Proje	ect:			1						
Alignment	52.1 ac	res	3/30/2020			YES NO					
5. Reason For Selection:		•			zi!						
At this time the Prima study area.	ary Alignment	using Opti	on 19 (Corridor A)	is the	prefer	red route th	rough the			
Signature of Person Completing this	Part: 0					Inat	C *				
Signature of Person Completing this	Part: ASIE	chmidt				DAT	3/30/20	20			
NOTE: Complete a form for ea	ach segment with r	more than one	e Alterna	te Corridor							

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

1. Name of Project SW trail between Hot Springs and Little Rock 2. Type of Project Multi-Use Recreational Path 4. County and State Pulsaki County, Arkansas PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (Ifn.), the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form) 4. For impact Average Farm 2(1,492 2)02 5. Major Cropts 5. Major Cropts 5. Major Cropts 5. Major Cropts 6. Ares: 247,044 6. Ares: 247,044 7. Armount of Farmland As Defined Arkansas PART III (To be completed by Pederal Agency) A Total Acres To Be Converted Directly 5. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly 6. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly 6. Total Acres Teime And Unique Farmland 8. Total Acres Teime And Unique Farmland	TI (To be completed by Fede	al Agency)	3. Date	of Land Evaluatio	n Request		4. Sheet 1	of _1			
PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). Algor Croppic. Solybeans Soly	1. Name of Project SW trail between Hot Springs and Little Rock			eral Agency Involve	^{ed} Fede	eral High	way Administra	tion (FHWA)			
Marie Ross Mar	3										
(Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). (Ifno additional parts of this form)	PART II (To be completed by NRCS)				by NRCS	Mari	e Ross				
Soybeans 8. Name of Land Evaluation System Used SCS/LESA 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned Arkansas Alternative Corridor For Segment Corridor For Segment Corridor B Corridor B Corridor C C				YES 🗸 NO	-	77 SEPTEMBER 17 SE		Farm Size			
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used SCS/LESA 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System 6/13/18					7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 187,160 % 36.2						
Corridor A Corridor B Corridor C Cor		(1977)				10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS					
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	T III (To be completed by Fed	ral Agency)				CARLOS C. SCHOOL CO.		Corridor D			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	otal Acres To Be Converted Direc	v		12.5							
C. Total Acres in Corridor A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 326.67 C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted 0.16 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 100 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Critication Relative Value 14.0 PART V (To be completed by PRCS) Land Evaluation Information Critication Relative Value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) 14.0 Points 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1		•			_			_			
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information		.,,		623.45	+			+			
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted O. 16 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value D. PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Critorion Relative Value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) PART V (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) 1. Area in Nonurban Use 1. Area in Nonurban Use 1. Area in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 1. Area in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 1. Area in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Converted by Project: 12. Farance 3/30/2020		CS) Land Evaluation Information	on								
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 326.67				89.44	+			_			
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Of Higher Relative Value 100		The state of the s			+			+			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 100 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Maximum Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) 100 14.0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 64.0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3/30/2020 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?	S TO THE STATE OF		rtod		+			+			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)				THE RESERVE AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN T	+			_			
value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) 14.0 PART W (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Maximum Points 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part V) above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 <					+			+			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 15 10 10 10 10 10				14.0							
1. Area in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1. Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? 1. Corridor Selected: 3/30/2020	RT VI (To be completed by Fede	al Agency) Corridor	Maximum	i							
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 260 64.0 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3/30/2020 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?			I WASSESSEE	15	+			+			
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20	term of the second of the seco		_	_	+			+			
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 64.0 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3/(30/2020) 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?		ad .	-		+			+			
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 64.0 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?					+			+			
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?					_			+			
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 5 0					_						
8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 5 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 64.0 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?	NAME OF THE PARTY		775.075	_	\top						
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160. 50. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0			20	0				1			
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 50 0 0 0 0 100 14.0 160 50 0 0 0 0 160 160 50 160 50 160 16	and the control of the second section of the control of the contro	Support Services	25	0	_						
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 14.0 0 0 0 0 0 100 0 14.0 0 0 0 0 14.0 0 0 0 0 150 160 50 0 0 0 0 0 100 10					+-			+			
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 100 14.0 0 0 0 0 0 100 14.0 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? A-Preferred 12. Foreign 3/30/2020				-	О		0	0			
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 160 50 0 0 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: A-Preferred 12. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3/30/2020	T VII (To be completed by Fed	ral Agency)									
assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 64.0 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: A-Preferred 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3/30/2020 3/30/2020	Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			14.0	0		0	0			
1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? 3/30/2020	THE STATE OF A STATE OF THE STA			50	0		0	0			
A-Preferred 12.5 cores 3/30/2020	TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			64.0	0		0	0			
A-Preferred 3/30/2020			3. Date Of	Selection:	4. Was	A Local Si	te Assessment Us	ed?			
Allullillelle	Preferred ignment	•	3/	3/30/2020			YES NO				
5. Reason For Selection:											
At this time the Primary Alignment (Corridor A) is the preferred route through the study area.	At this time the Prima	y Alignment (Corridor	A) is the	e preferred	route t	hrough	n the study	area.			
Signature of Person Completing this Part: ASIE Shmidt DATE 3/30/2020	nature of Person Completing this P					DATE	≣ 3/30/20	20			
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor	TE: Complete a form for ea		ne Alterna	te Corridor							